

## **Local Waiting Restriction Programme**

Report of the Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

**Recommendation: It is recommended that:**

- (a) work on the local waiting restriction programme is noted;**
- (b) the recommendations contained in Appendix II to this report are agreed.**

### **1. Summary**

This report is to consider the submissions to the statutory consultation on the restrictions proposed in the Exeter area, as part of the local waiting restriction programme.

### **2. Background**

In early 2020, a list of requests for new or amended waiting restrictions for the Exeter area was collated by the council. These requests have been considered by officers and proposals drafted. Officers have consulted the relevant local County Councillors and Chair/Vice Chair before they were advertised from 14 January until 18 February 2021.

A summary of the proposals advertised can be found in Appendix I and the associated plans have been attached as supplementary information to this report.

### **3. Consultations/Representations**

Details of the objections received to these proposals, and the County Council's response are shown in Appendix II to this report.

Following advertisement:

- Proposals which did not attract objections will be implemented.
- Proposals that received objections are detailed in Appendix II to this report with recommendations for each location.

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

The total costs of the scheme will be funded from the Minor Traffic Management Improvements budget, funded by Local Transport Plan grant.

There is a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

#### **5. Legal Considerations**

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic.

#### **6. Environmental Impact Considerations (Including Climate Change)**

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

#### **7. Equality Considerations**

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

#### **8. Risk Management Considerations**

No risks have been identified.

#### **9. Public Health Impact**

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

## 10. Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the HATOC area by:

- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging those working in the town to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in Exeter and therefore comply with Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth  
Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

**Electoral Divisions: All in Exeter**

### **Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers**

Contact for Enquiries: Pippa Gray

Tel No: 0345 155 1004 Room: M8, Great Moor House

<b>Background Paper</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>File Reference</b>
Nil		

pg250121exh  
sc/cr/Local Waiting Restriction Programme  
02 040321

**Details of Proposals Advertised**

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Yvonne Atkinson	ENV5807/001	Dunsford Road	Alphington & Cowick	Extend no waiting at any time alongside railings towards number 2 Dunsford Road.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Yvonne Atkinson	ENV5807/002	Sanford Place	Alphington & Cowick	No waiting at any time next to junction with Chieftain Way.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Yvonne Atkinson	ENV5807/003	Wheatley Close	Alphington & Cowick	No waiting at any time at junction with Nadder Park Road.	To prevent obstructive parking and increase visibility.
Yvonne Atkinson	ENV5807/004	Willsdown Road	Alphington & Cowick	No waiting at any time at the junction with Dawlish Road.	To increase visibility & prevent obstructive parking.
Percy Prowse	ENV5807/005	Higher Kings Avenue	Duryard & Pennsylvania	Extend no waiting at any time to outside numbers 54 & 56.	To improve visibility.
Percy Prowse	ENV5807/006	Horseguards	Duryard & Pennsylvania	Modify no waiting restriction to Monday - Friday 8am - 6pm.	Change restriction to align with new residents parking scheme - Zone I.
Percy Prowse	ENV5807/007	Prince of Wales Road	Duryard & Pennsylvania	Replace no waiting restriction with no waiting at any time along the length of the road.	To align all parking restrictions and prevent obstructive parking.
Percy Prowse	ENV5807/008	Rosebarn Lane	Duryard & Pennsylvania	Extend no waiting at any time outside numbers 7 & 9.	To improve visibility.

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Rob Hannaford	ENV5807/009	Barley Mount	Exwick & St Thomas	No waiting at any time around turning area.	To prevent obstructive parking and allow room for turning.
Rob Hannaford	ENV5807/010	Cambridge Street	Exwick & St Thomas	No waiting at any time between numbers 28 Cambridge Street and 2 Brunswick Street in front of dropped kerb.	To comply with traffic regulation order and to prevent obstructive parking.
Rob Hannaford	ENV5807/011	Gloucester Road	Exwick & St Thomas	No waiting at any time opposite numbers 95-103.	To prevent parking on bend/brow of hill and increase visibility.
Rob Hannaford	ENV5807/012	Kinnerton Way	Exwick & St Thomas	No waiting at any time around junction with Farm Hill.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Hilary Ackland	ENV5807/013	Causey Lane	Pinhoe & Mincinglake	No waiting at any time from junction with Causey Gardens to number 11 Causey Lane.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Hilary Ackland	ENV5807/014	Summer Close	Pinhoe & Mincinglake	Extend no waiting at any time adjacent to 6 Summer Lane.	To increase visibility at junction.
Carol Whitton	ENV5807/017	North Street	St David's & Haven Banks	Make loading only bays applicable at any time.	To allow loading at all times of the day.
Carol Whitton	ENV5807/018	Southernhay East	St David's & Haven Banks	Request for a short section of double yellow lines adjacent to Cathedral Court to assist with crossing.	To prevent obstructive parking and ease residential access.

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Carol Whitton	ENV5807/019	Hele Road	St David's & Haven Banks	Modify some of the no waiting Mon-Sat 8am-6pm to no waiting at any time.	To prevent traffic build up at the weekend.
Carol Whitton	ENV5807/020	Temple Road & Roberts Road	St David's & Haven Banks	Change all limited waiting bays Mon-Sat 9am-6pm to 2 hour no return within 4 hours.	To align all limited waiting restrictions in the area.
Su Aves	ENV5807/021	Beacon Avenue	St Sidwells & St James	No waiting at any time in turning area.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Su Aves	ENV5807/022	Codrington Street	St Sidwells & St James	Reduce length of Limited Waiting bay (Mon-Sat 9am-6pm 2 Hours No Return Within 4 Hours) and replace with residents parking.	To increase residents parking.
Su Aves	ENV5807/023	Gladstone Road	St Sidwells & St James	Reduce residents parking bay at junction with Clifton Street.	To increase visibility at junction when exiting Clifton Street.
Su Aves	ENV5807/024	Monks Road	St Sidwells & St James	Introduce new limited waiting bay next to railway line & modify existing limited waiting bays beside and opposite railway line to residents parking.	To increase parking stock.
Su Aves	ENV5807/025	Old Tiverton Road	St Sidwells & St James	No waiting at any time outside 117.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Su Aves	ENV5807/026	Pamela Road	St Sidwells & St James	No waiting at any time across access beside 24 Pamela Road.	To prevent obstructive parking.

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Su Aves	ENV5807/027	Ladysmith Road	St Sidwells & St James	Install new mandatory school keep clear in Ladysmith Road for junior and infant school.	To prevent obstructive parking outside school entrances and increase safety.
Su Aves	ENV5807/028	Well Street	St Sidwells & St James	No waiting at any time across access for 32 Well Street.	To align road markings with traffic regulation order.
Su Aves	ENV5807/029	Wykes Road	St Sidwells & St James	Reduce extent of no waiting at any time adjacent to 111 Pinhoe Road.	To allow more parking.
Andrew Leadbetter	ENV5807/030	Higher Shapter Close	Wearside & Topsham	Extend no waiting at any time outside 2 Higher Shapter Close.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Andrew Leadbetter	ENV5807/031	Southbrook Road and Tollards Road	Wearside & Topsham	No waiting at any time behind 339 Topsham Road and behind 361 Topsham Road.	To increase visibility and prevent obstructive parking.
Andrew Leadbetter	ENV5807/032	The Strand	Wearside & Topsham	No waiting at any time outside Anchor House.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/033	Coates Road	Wonford & St Loyes	No waiting at any time opposite number 35 Coates Road.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/035	Kingfisher Way	Wonford & St Loyes	Extend no waiting at any time on northern side.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/036	Kipling Drive	Wonford & St Loyes	Introduction of limited waiting Mon-Fri 10am-5pm adjacent to 12 Kipling Drive.	To increase parking availability.

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/037	Lords Way	Wonford & St Loyes	No waiting at any time outside and opposite junction to Trafford Mews.	To prevent obstructive parking and increase visibility.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/038	Quarry Lane	Wonford & St Loyes	No waiting Mon-Fri 07.30-09.30am & 3-6pm in cycle lane opposite 68-102 Quarry Lane.	To prevent obstructive parking and increase safety of cyclists.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/039	Russell Way	Wonford & St Loyes	Introduce no waiting at any time.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marina Asvachin	ENV5807/040	Wilford Road	Wonford & St Loyes	No waiting at any time around junction with spur road opposite 15 & 19 Wilford Road.	To prevent obstructive parking.
Su Aves	ENV5807-041	Red Lion Road	St Sidwells & St James	Existing NWAAT markings to be removed and replaced with pay and display (Tariff Exeter B) Mon - Sat 8am - 6pm and Sun bank Hols 11am - 5pm Max stay 2 hours.	To allow more parking.
Andrew Leadbetter	ENV5807/042	Salmonpool Lane	Wearside & Topsham	Existing single yellow lines replaced with pay by phone Mon - Fri 10am-4pm max stay 4 hours.	To allow vehicles to park in the daytime.
Su Aves	ENV5807/043	Pretoria Road	St Sidwells & St James	Install new mandatory school keep clear in Pretoria Road for junior and infant school.	To prevent obstructive parking outside school entrances and increase safety.



<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish/Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Andrew Leadbetter	ENV5807/044	Bridgehill Garth	Wearside & Topsham	No Waiting at Any Time proposed at junction.	To increase visibility and prevent obstructive parking.

Summary of Submissions

Plan ENV5807/001 Dunsford Road 1 respondent – Resident of Little John’s Cross Hill	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><b>Object</b> 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is waste of public funds.</li> <li>• The restrictions will not be enforced.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Extend no waiting at any time alongside railings towards number 2 Dunsford Road to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> View noted. These works will not cost much and it will mean that vehicle movement near to this junction and at school pick up times is improved.</p> <p>Parking does take place here which is not appropriate due to height of footway and railings.</p> <p>The restrictions will be enforced by the civil enforcement officers and can be reported on the Devon County Council website.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

Plan ENV5807/002 Sanford Place junction with Chieftain Way 4 respondents – 3 residents of Sanford Place and 1 resident of Coles Mews	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><b>Object</b> 2 respondents objected but also offered support to the proposals if they were extended.</p> <p><b>Support</b> 2 respondents offered their support for the proposal but would like to see the proposed restrictions extended.</p> <p><b>Comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 respondents commented that the proposed restrictions will not prevent obstructive parking at the turning area outside Coles Mews.</li> <li>• 2 respondents commented that obstructive parking blocks access into resident parking bays.</li> <li>• 2 respondents commented that it will not prevent access issues for larger vehicles and the emergency services.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Introduce no waiting at any time next to junction with Chieftain Way to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> We are unable to extend these restrictions as part of this proposal.</p> <p>These restrictions have been proposed to prevent obstructive parking and to assist larger vehicles to manoeuvre along Chieftain Way.</p> <p>This area will be consulted on residents parking proposals later this year. As part of these proposals additional restrictions could be included if considered necessary.</p>

- 1 respondent commented that delivery vehicles have been known to cause damage to properties when trying to get past.
- 1 respondent commented that it will not tackle the issue of unrestricted parking in the area.
- 3 respondents commented that commuters park here and walk to work and that visitors to 'The Loft' also park here.
- 1 respondent commented that the restrictions will force the issue further along the road.
- 1 respondent commented that the road feels unsafe due to the lack of traffic management.

**Suggestion**

- The proposed restrictions be extended along Chieftain Way to Ferndale Road (outbound side).
- The proposed restrictions extended beyond Coles Mews to the existing restrictions in Sanford Place.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised and consideration to be given to further restrictions as part of the residents parking scheme.

**Plan ENV5807/003 Wheatley Close junction with Nadder Park Road  
2 respondents – Residents of Nadder Park Road**

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><b>Object</b> 2 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both respondents commented that they are unaware of any cars parking at the junction or any problems with visibility at the junction.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that they have never seen or heard of any accidents or near misses caused by parked vehicles.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that they will have to cross a busy road to unload/ load into their property.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that it will restrict disabled access.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that they will be forced to park opposite the restriction where there is no footpath which means they will have to exit the vehicle onto the road or grass verge which are both safety hazards.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Introduce no waiting at any time at junction with Nadder Park Road to prevent obstructive parking and increase visibility.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> Comments noted.</p> <p>Loading is permitted on no waiting at any time restrictions.</p> <p>Disabled badge holders may park for up to three hours on no waiting restrictions where it is safe to do so and does not cause an obstruction.</p>

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that we relax the restrictions on Nadder Park Road from outside number 18 to the southern side of the dropped kerb outside number 16. Implement the remaining lengths of proposed no waiting at any time as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/005 Higher Kings Avenue**  
**2 respondents – Residents of Higher Kings Avenue**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Support</b>                  2 respondent supports the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will improve visibility along the road.</li> <li>• It will prevent parking on both sides of the road reducing the potential for accidents.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mark restrictions on both sides of the road.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>                  Extend no waiting at any time to outside numbers 54 &amp; 56 to improve visibility.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>                  Support noted.</p> <p>We are unable to extend these restrictions as part of this proposal, however if parking problems are still caused by vehicles parking on the other side of the road we can review these as part of a future review.</p>

**Recommendation**  
 It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/08b Rosebarn Lane**  
**21 respondents – 20 residents of Rosebarn Lane & 1 resident of Rosebank Crescent**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b>                  1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will restrict parking outside their property.</li> <li>• Parked vehicles act as a traffic calming measure and reduce speed.</li> <li>• They have not witnessed visibility issues at the lower end of Rosebarn Lane.</li> </ul> <p><b>Support</b>                  19 respondents support the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support is given on the understanding that residents will continue to have access to Resident parking zone S4.</li> <li>• 6 respondents commented that it will improve visibility for those attending the school.</li> <li>• 8 respondents commented that it will improve visibility for pedestrians crossing the road.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that it will prevent obstructive parking.</li> <li>• 3 respondents commented that it will improve traffic flow.</li> <li>• 4 respondents commented that it will prevent people leaving their cars for long periods of time.</li> <li>• 8 respondents commented that it will improve visibility for cars accessing driveways.</li> <li>• 5 respondents commented that it will reduce congestion caused by parked cars and pollution.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>                  Extend no waiting at any time outside numbers 7 &amp; 9 to improve visibility &amp; introduce no waiting Mon-Fri 8am-6pm to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>                  These restrictions have been proposed following requests from residents who have reported visibility issues here.</p> <p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>These proposals will not change the extent of the S4 zone.</p> <p>The time of the restrictions proposed match the other restrictions in the area.</p> <p>All properties adjacent to the proposed restrictions have access to off-street parking.</p> <p>A change in speed limit is beyond the scope of this scheme.</p> <p>Defective road markings and overgrown vegetation can be reported on Devon County Council's 'report a problem' webpage.</p>

- 1 respondent commented that restrictions further along Rosebarn Lane have caused displacement parking.
- 3 respondents commented that it will improve access for buses.
- 1 respondent commented that it will improve access for emergency vehicles.

**Suggestion:**

- Start the proposed restrictions from No. 21.
- Change time of the proposed restrictions to 10am to 4pm.
- Introduce a 20mph speed limit to reduce traffic speeds.
- Measures to slow down traffic travelling down the hill as there are regularly near misses with cars parked opposite the bus stop.
- Review the road markings as they are very worn including the Stop marking.
- Further maintenance of the hedgerow to prevent cars cutting the corner.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/009 Barley Mount turning area  
4 respondents – Residents of Barley Mount**

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><b>Object</b> 4 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 respondents commented that it will restrict parking for residents in the area.</li> <li>• 2 respondents commented that it will restrict parking in the area causing conflict between residents.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that it will create issues elsewhere with displaced vehicles blocking the road and driveways.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mark a single space of no waiting at any time in the corner.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Introduce no waiting at any time around turning area to prevent obstructive parking and allow room for turning</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> Comments noted,</p> <p>Parking can only be allowed where it does not cause a problem and parking at this location is causing problems for vehicles wishing to turn around in this street.</p>

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/013 Causey Lane junction with Causey Gardens  
2 respondents – Residents of Causey Lane**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b> 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They have never witnessed an instance of obstructive parking.</li> <li>• It will restrict parking for residents in the area.</li> </ul> <p><b>Support</b> 1 respondent supports the proposals</p> <p><b>Suggestion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce the length of the restriction so that it ends opposite the junction with Fairview Terrace.</li> <li>• Extend the proposed restrictions to No. 9 to prevent obstructive parking which blocks buses/lorries/large vehicles.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Introduce no waiting at any time from junction with Causey Gardens to number 11 Causey Lane to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> The carriageway is not wide enough to support parking on both sides of the road and retain sufficient width to allow the passage of larger vehicles such as buses, it is considered that this length is appropriate.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

**Plan ENV5807/017 North Street, St Davids  
2 respondents – 1 resident of North Street and 1 resident of Little John's Cross Hill**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b> 2 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both respondents commented that there is no demand for loading during the evenings.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the proposals will restrict parking along the street.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the restrictions will restrict parking for restaurant customers.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that it will restrict disabled access.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Make loading only bays applicable at any time to allow loading at all times of the day.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> These proposals will align all loading restrictions in the street and allow for delivery services to access local shops and restaurants in the evening as well as the daytime.</p> <p>There is considered to be adequate parking in the surrounding area to accommodate any displaced parking.</p> <p>There is provision for disabled parking on the north-east side of the street.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

**Plan ENV5807/019 Hele Road****2 respondents – 1 resident of Hoopern Street and 1 resident of Galmpton Rise****Comment****Devon County Council Response****Object**

2 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Both respondents commented that the restrictions will reduce parking for the church including disabled access.
- 1 respondent commented that they feel that Christians and the disabled are being discriminated against.
- 1 respondent commented that there are no other suitable travel alternatives for the elderly to attend church.
- 1 respondent commented that they feel that needs of Christians are being sidelined.
- 1 respondent commented that attending church does not come into the same category as shopping or other recreational activities, so should not be treated as such.
- 1 respondent commented that they do not feel that the restrictions are needed on a Sunday due to reduced traffic.

**Suggestion**

- Allow free parking up until 11 in metered sections.
- Authorise the church to issue permits that would allow parking along Hele Road.
- Parking provision maintained for the church on a Sunday morning.

**Reason for Proposal**

Modify some of the no waiting Mon-Sat 8am-6pm to no waiting at any time to prevent traffic build up at the weekend.

**Officer comments**

Traffic in the city centre on a Sunday has increased over the years therefore it is appropriate that the main routes into the city centre are managed in a similar way to the rest of the week.

We have retained the no waiting restriction on St Davids Hill which allows parking on a Sunday.

Disabled badge holders may park for up to three hours on no waiting restrictions where it is safe to do so and does not cause an obstruction.

Suggestions noted.

It is not possible to enable the church to issue permits for parking on the public highway.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/020 Temple Road & Roberts Road****1 respondent – Resident of Exe Vale Road****Comment****Devon County Council Response****Object**

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Limited waiting restrictions should not be removed as members of a local gymnastics club use these bays to park in.

**Reason for Proposal**

Change all limited waiting bays Mon-Sat 9am-6pm to 2 hour no return within 4 hours to align all limited waiting restrictions in the area.

**Officer comments**

Respondent has misunderstood the proposals, these bays will remain as limited waiting bays for visitors to the area.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/021 Beacon Avenue turning area  
10 respondents – Residents of Beacon Avenue**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b> 10 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 respondents commented that they do not feel that parking restricts access or turning in this area.</li> <li>• 10 respondents commented that vehicles may start to park on pavements as a result.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that it further restricts parking in the area.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that previous restrictions have not been effective.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Introduce no waiting at any time in turning area to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> Parking can only be allowed where it does not cause a problem and it is reported that parking at this location is causing problems for vehicles wishing to turn around in this street.</p> <p>If displaced parking causes a problem following implementation of these restrictions then they can be addressed as part of a future review.</p> <p>These restrictions will be enforced by civil enforcement officers.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

**Plan ENV5807/022 Codrington Street  
1 respondent – Resident of Codrington Street**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b> 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will restrict parking for visitors.</li> <li>• Resident parking will obstruct ease of parking on the other side.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase the amount of visitor permits.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b> Reduce length of Limited Waiting bay (Mon-Sat 9am-6pm 2 Hours No Return Within 4 Hours) and replace with residents parking to increase residents parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b> It is considered that with the demand for residents parking and provision of visitors permits that limited waiting is less in demand when the scheme was first implemented.</p> <p>Provision of visitor permits is currently being reviewed as part of Devon County Council's highway and traffic management policy and scheme reviews. Further details will be available later this year.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	



**Plan ENV5807/023 Gladstone Road junction with Clifton Street**  
**1 respondent – Resident of Gladstone Road**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b>            1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will further restrict residents parking in the area.</li> <li>• Other developments in the area have had a negative impact on parking.</li> <li>• Residents parking spaces is used by taxis for hospital pickups.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>            Reduce residents parking bay at junction with Clifton Street to increase visibility at junction when exiting Clifton Street.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>            While parking is limited in this area the safety of vehicles at the junction exiting Clifton Street is considered paramount.</p> <p>The highway code makes it clear that vehicles should not park at a junction.</p>

**Recommendation**  
 It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/027 Ladysmith Road**  
**5 respondents – 4 residents of Ladysmith Road and 1 resident of Park Road**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b>            4 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4 respondents commented that it will restrict parking for residents in the area.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the school is taking priority over the residents.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the needs of residents are being put aside for a bus service which is rarely used.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that they have concerns over the extension of the disabled parking bay outside Nos. 4 &amp; 6 Ladysmith Road.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the current restrictions are not adhered to.</li> <li>• 1 respondent commented that the current residents parking scheme has not improved parking in the area.</li> </ul> <p><b>Support</b>            1 respondent supports the proposals on the grounds that it will reduce pollution in the area.</p> <p><b>Suggestion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restrictions should focus on school traffic.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>            Install new mandatory school keep clear in Ladysmith Road for junior and infant school to prevent obstructive parking outside school entrances and increase safety.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>            These restrictions have been proposed to protect the junction to the school and improve the safety of school children and visitors.</p> <p>As part of this proposal the location of two bus stops have had to be changed. The proposal for new restrictions on Commins Road next to the relocated bus stop is to allow passengers to access the bus service safely.</p> <p>The disabled bay outside 6 Ladysmith Road is being re-located to accommodate the no stopping at any time restrictions but it's length will remain the same – 6.6 metres.</p> <p>These restrictions will be enforced by civil enforcement officers.</p>

**Recommendation**  
 It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/031 Southbrook Road & Tollards Road**

**6 respondents – 3 residents of Southbrook Road, 2 residents of Tollards Road & 1 response from Southbrook Community Association**

**Comment**

**Devon County Council Response**

**Object**

2 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- 1 respondent commented that the only issue is with teachers parking along the road.
- 1 respondent commented that the removal of speed humps has caused people to speed around the blind bend of Southbrook Road.
- 1 respondent commented that parked cars act as a traffic calming measure.
- 1 respondent commented that restrictions will increase the risk to pedestrians due to traffic speeds.
- 1 respondent commented that they are worried this will result in resident/visitor permit scheme which is not needed.

**Support**

4 respondents support the proposals on the grounds that:

- 1 respondent commented that it will improve safety along the road.
- 1 respondent commented that they are against the implementation of residents parking.

**Suggestion**

- Additional parking for the school could be created next to the parking area for buses.
- Additional restrictions outside Nos. 1 and 2 Southbrook Road to improve safety at the junction.
- Does not feel that restriction need to run across the driveway for No. 4 Tollards Road.

**Reason for Proposal**

No waiting at any time behind 339 Topsham Road and behind 361 Topsham Road to increase visibility and prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments**

These proposals have been advertised to assist vehicles to travel safely around the bends following requests from residents.

An increase in traffic speeds is not anticipated following the implementation of these proposals as vehicles should slow down on the approach to a sharp bend such as these.

These proposals do not include any restrictions regarding residents parking. The area has been consulted on with regards to residents parking last year and more information on this can be viewed on Devon County Council's 'Exeter residents parking' webpage.

We are unable to extend these restrictions as part of this proposal, if further restrictions are required then they can be considered as part of a future review.

The extent of restrictions proposed is on the bend of Tollards Road to ensure vehicles are on the right side of the road as they travel around the bend. It is not considered appropriate to relax these as far as No. 4 Tollards Road.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/033 Coates Road**  
**1 respondent – Resident of Coates Road**

**Comment**

**Devon County Council Response**

**Object**

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that;

- It will restrict parking outside their property.
- That they do not want to pay to park outside their home.

**Reason for Proposal**

No waiting at any time opposite number 35 Coates Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments**

The carriageway is not wide enough to support parking on both sides of the road and retain sufficient width to allow the passage of larger vehicles.

Charging for parking is not included in the proposals for this site.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/037 Lords Way**  
**1 respondent – Resident of Headingley Close**

**Comment**

**Devon County Council Response**

**Object**

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Respondent has never seen any obstructive parking here, only residents use this road to park.
- Respondent requested that an access protection marking be marked outside their property to prevent obstructive parking but was unsuccessful.

**Reason for Proposal**

No waiting at any time outside and opposite junction to Trafford Mews to prevent obstructive parking and increase visibility.

**Officer comments**

These restrictions were proposed following reports of obstructive parking limiting access to Trafford Mews. The length of restrictions proposed are considered an appropriate length the retain some parking while keeping the access to the junction clear.

The highway code makes it clear that vehicles should not park at a junction.

Details of when we can provide an access protection marking can be found on our Devon County Council webpages under 'access protection'.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/038 Quarry Lane**

**11 respondents – 4 residents of Quarry Lane, 2 residents of Grecian Way, 2 residents of Broadfields Road, 2 residents of Exeter & 1 resident from Woodbury**

**Comment**

**Devon County Council Response**

**Object**

7 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- 1 respondent commented that it will restrict parking for residents and visitors.
- 1 respondent commented that some households have too many cars to fit on their off-street parking and need to park on street.
- 3 respondents commented that the restrictions will cause displacement parking.
- 1 respondent commented that it will force cars to the other side of the road where they may park obstructively.
- 1 respondent commented that the restrictions will put pressure on families who cannot afford a bus pass nor have the competence to cycle, restrictions will not force people to use other modes of transport.
- 1 respondent commented that dropping children in the surrounding areas is a safety concern due to traffic speeds and volumes and the busy bus route. Containing waiting traffic to a relatively small area outside the school keeps other road users safe.
- 1 respondent commented that cycle lanes in Exeter are underused.
- 1 respondent commented that road closures around Exeter for social distancing are creating traffic delays on the main roads causing pollution.
  - 1 respondent commented that the money would be better spent elsewhere.
  - 2 respondents commented that the time of the proposed restrictions were too long.
  - 1 respondent commented that bus stops are placed too close to the school.
  - 1 respondent commented that the restrictions will not be enforced.
  - 1 respondent opposes a permit parking scheme.

**Support**

4 respondents support the proposals on the grounds that:

- 1 respondent commented that restriction will increase safety along the road for pedestrians and cyclist and improve access for school buses.
- 1 respondent commented that it will prevent obstructive parking.
- 1 respondent commented that the restriction does not go far enough and a mandatory cycle lane is needed to protect children cycling to school.

**Reason for Proposal**

Introduce no waiting Mon-Fri 07.30-09.30am & 3-6pm in cycle lane opposite numbers 68-102 Quarry Lane to prevent obstructive parking and increase safety of cyclists

**Officer comments**

Comments noted.

If displaced parking causes a problem following implementation of these restrictions, then they can be addressed as part of a future review.

The provision of this cycle lane provides space for cyclists on a steep uphill climb, it is preferable for cyclists to be unobstructed on their journey uphill.

These restrictions have been proposed to remove any obstructions in the cycle lane at peak times. It is considered that the proposed times are when the cycle lane will be used the most.

These restrictions may help to increase the use of the cycle lane and increase cycling in this area.

The road width is not sufficient for a mandatory cycle lane.

We are unable to extend the proposed restrictions proposed at this time. We can monitor the effect of these restrictions once implemented and modifications can be made as part of a future review if required.

**Suggestion**

- The restriction should go further, and a mandatory cycle lane is needed to protect children cycling to school.
- Provide a safe route for cyclists along Honiton Road connecting Broadfields to Heavitree.
- Widen the pavement and create a shared footway.
- The roads in the area require further safety inspections.
- Zebra crossing at the entrance to the school to improve safety.
- Making the one-way section of Quarry Lane pedestrian and cyclists only.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/040 Wilford Road****3 respondents – Residents of Wilford Road****Comment****Object**

3 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- 3 respondents commented that it will further restrict parking for residents in the area.
- 1 respondent commented that these restrictions will force the issue into surrounding areas.
- 2 respondents commented that further restrictions in this road will make relationships between neighbours even more fraught, restrictions have caused conflict within the community.
- 1 respondent commented that Commercial vehicles should not be allowed to park in the residents parking zone.

**Suggestion**

- Only issue two residents parking permits per household to reduce car ownership in the area and ease pressure on parking spaces.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal**

No waiting at any time around junction with spur road opposite 15 & 19 Wilford Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments**

The highway code makes it clear that vehicles should not park at a junction.

If displaced parking causes a problem following implementation of these restrictions, then they can be addressed as part of a future review.

We would not consider changing the allowance of residents parking permits in the S7 residents parking zone at this time. Residents parking permits will only be available for vehicles used by residents in the zone.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**Plan ENV5807/042 Salmonpool Lane**  
**1 respondent – Resident of Thompson Road**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b>            1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The exemption for Zone R permit holders will draw people from elsewhere in the zone to park here.</li> <li>• Parking restrictions will create increased traffic.</li> <li>• Parking should not be allowed along this section of Salmonpool Lane as it is an area used largely by walkers and cyclists and vehicles here endanger safety.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove the exemption for Zone R permit holders.</li> <li>• Parking charges should be extended to cover weekend parking.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>            Existing single yellow lines replaced with pay by phone Mon - Fri 10am-4pm max stay 4 hours to allow vehicles to parking in the daytime.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>            These restrictions have been proposed to allow visitors to the riverside area a place to park in the daytime, they are not proposed for residents who live nearby to park as it is considered that there is sufficient parking available for residents in surrounding streets. Therefore, it is recommended that the exemption for residents permit holders is removed from the restrictions in this proposal.</p> <p>It is considered that the road width is wide enough to allow parking and manoeuvring here.</p> <p>The restriction times that have been proposed match the restrictions in surrounding areas, it is not felt necessary at this time to extend them to cover the weekend as well.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b>            It is recommended that the restriction is modified to remove the exemption for Zone R permit holders before the restriction is implemented.</p>	

**Plan ENV5807/043 Pretoria Road**  
**1 respondent – Resident of Ladysmith Road**

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p><b>Object</b>            1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will increase the risk to pedestrians and children walking in the area.</li> <li>• The proposed restriction will worsen the current issues with parking on Ladysmith Road.</li> <li>• It will reduce parking for residents in the area.</li> <li>• It will reduce the amount of available parking spaces near the school for parents.</li> <li>• It will create parking issues in the surrounding area.</li> <li>• It will not tackle the main issue of cars turning within Pretoria Road at school drop off times causing safety issues for children.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal</b>            Install new mandatory school keep clear in Pretoria Road for junior and infant school to prevent obstructive parking outside school entrances and increase safety.</p> <p><b>Officer comments</b>            These restrictions have been proposed to protect the accesses to the school and improve the safety of school children and visitors.</p> <p>We would not consider changing the other parking restrictions in Pretoria Road at this time as part of this review.</p> <p>If displaced parking causes a problem following implementation of these restrictions then they can be addressed as part of a future review.</p>

**Suggestion**

- Residents parking only along Pretoria Road leaving spaces for parents along Ladysmith reducing the bottleneck at school drop off time.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.